

CHARLES A. GONZALEZ
MEMBER OF CONGRESS
20TH DISTRICT, TEXAS

327 CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-4320
(202) 225-3236 PHONE
(202) 225-1915 FAX

B-124 FEDERAL BUILDING
727 EAST DURANGO

SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS 78206-1286
(210) 472-6195 PHONE
(210) 472-4009 FAX

ORIGINAL

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-4320

October 3, 2003

P3-03

CC: 0201030
COMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL SERVICES
SUBCOMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS AND
CONSUMER CREDIT
SUBCOMMITTEE ON CAPITAL MARKETS
INSURANCE
GOVERNMENT SPONSORED ENTERPRISES

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS
SUBCOMMITTEE ON REGULATION, REFORM
AND OVERSIGHT
RANKING MEMBER

SELECT COMMITTEE ON
HOMELAND SECURITY

CHAIR OF THE CONGRESSIONAL HISPANIC
CAUCUS CIVIL RIGHTS TASK FORCE

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

03 OCT -8 PM 1:52

RECEIVED

The Honorable Bryant VanBrakle
Secretary
Federal Maritime Commission
800 North Capitol Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20573-0001

Dear Secretary VanBrakle:

It is my understanding that UPS has filed for an exemption from the prohibition on Non-Vessel Operating Common Carriers (NVOCCs) from entering into confidential contracts with their customers. Due to the operational characteristics off UPS and recent developments withing the ocean shipping marketplace, the antiquated regulatory scheme governing VHOCCs should be revised. I write in strong support of the UPS petition currently pending before the FMC.

During consideration of the *Ocean Shipping Reform Act* (OSRA) revisions of 1998, Congress carefully considered all aspects of the ocean shipping industry including the role of the NVOCCs.

Based on the nature of ocean shipping at the time, Congress determined that NVOCCs should be regulated differently than vessel operators. In the late 1990's, most NVOCCS were small enterprises that neither owned ocean vessels nor the cargo being shipped. In order to protect shippers and to guarantee liability coverage, Congress determined that NVOCCs should operate under a published tariff system when dealing with their customers.

However, the state of the U.S. ocean shipping industry has changed dramatically since passage of OSRA. There has been unprecedented consolidation among ocean carriers resulting in the loss of major U.S. flagged carriers. In an effort to offer customers a full range of services, theses very same carriers have created vertically integrated logistics companies that now compete with NvoccS.

UPS operates the most sophisticated, integrated, intermodal transportation network in the world, which includes air, rail and surface and NVOCC transportation, and is deemed a "carrier" in the surface and air freight industries. Furthermore, UPS makes significant annual capital investments to its asset-based transportation infrastructures. These facts alone set UPS apart from the companies that first raised concerns about the regulatory status of NVOCCs.


The UPS petition, citing the recent evolution of the ocean shipping marketplace, is precisely the reason Congress granted such broad exemption authority- to the FMC. While anticipating

Page 2
October 3, 2003

dramatic changes in the ocean shipping industry with the passage of OSRA, Congress did not contemplate how fast or how smoothly the market could adapt to these changes. By granting this petition, the FMC will acknowledge these changes, level the playing field between NVOCCs and vessel operators, and ultimately benefit ocean shipping consumers around the world.

I am hopeful the FMC will give the UPS petition its utmost consideration and render an equitable decision based upon the merits of the UPS case.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Charles A. Gonzalez", written in a cursive style.

Charles A. Gonzalez
Member of Congress

CAG:ss